

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 DEPARTMENT NO. 11

HON. JONATHAN ROSENBLOOM, JUDGE

4
5 IN RE THE)

6 MYRTLE MOORE LIVING TRUST,)
7 DATED MARCH 7, 2002)
_____)

NO. BP141987

8
9 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

10 DECEMBER 8, 2025

11 APPEARANCES:

12 FOR THE PETITIONER
13 JEAN ROBINSON:

MANNING & KASS, ELLROD, RAMIREZ,
TRESTER LLP
BY: DANIEL B. HERBERT, ESQ.
KIRSTEN A. BROWN, ESQ.
(LACOURTCONNECT APPEARANCES)
801 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
15TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
(213) 624-6900

17 FOR THE OBJECTOR
18 ERNEST L. MOORE:

ERNEST L. MOORE
(IN PROPRIA PERSONA)
(LACOURTCONNECT APPEARANCE)

20 FOR THE TRUSTEE
21 JEFFREY SIEGEL:

TALEI AND TALEI, LLP
BY: NATHAN M. TALEI, ESQ.
(LACOURTCONNECT APPEARANCE)
16133 VENTURA BOULEVARD
SUITE 700
ENCINO, CALIFORNIA 91436
(424) 362-8359

24
25 **COPY**
26

27 PAULA B. RENTERIA, CSR NO. 9374
28 OFFICIAL REPORTER

1 CASE NUMBER: BP141987
2 CASE NAME: IN RE THE MYRTLE MOORE LIVING TRUST,
3 DATED MARCH 7, 2002
4 LOS ANGELES, CA MONDAY, DECEMBER 8, 2025
5 DEPARTMENT 11 HON. JONATHAN ROSENBLOOM, JUDGE
6 REPORTER: PAULA B. RENTERIA, CSR NO. 9374
7 TIME: A.M. SESSION
8 APPEARANCES: (AS HERETOFORE NOTED.)
9

10 THE COURT: LET'S GO TO MATTER 1016. THIS IS IN THE
11 MYRTLE MOORE LIVING TRUST.

12 MR. TALEI, WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE YOUR APPEARANCE,
13 PLEASE.

14 MR. TALEI: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. NATHAN TALEI ON
15 BEHALF OF JEFFREY SIEGEL.

16 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

17 AND, MS. BROWN, WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE YOUR
18 APPEARANCE.

19 MS. BROWN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. KIRSTEN BROWN
20 FROM MANNING & KASS ON BEHALF OF JEAN ROBINSON.

21 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

22 AND IS MR. HERBERT WITH US, AS WELL?

23 MR. HERBERT: YES. GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. DANIEL
24 HERBERT FOR JEAN ROBINSON ALSO.

25 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

26 MR. MOORE, GOOD MORNING. WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE
27 YOUR APPEARANCE.

28 MR. MOORE: ERNEST MOORE, PRESENT.

1 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

2 THIS IS A PETITION BROUGHT BY JEAN ROBINSON FOR A
3 PRELIMINARY DISTRIBUTION AND MR. MOORE HAS FILED OBJECTIONS
4 REGARDING SERVICE AND THEN HE FILED A SUPPLEMENT CONTAINING
5 FURTHER ARGUMENT. THAT SUPPLEMENT IS AKIN TO A SURREPLY.
6 THE COURT HAS REVIEWED IT, BUT IS NOT CONSIDERING IT.

7 MS. ROBINSON FILED A RESPONSE THAT ADDRESSED ALL OF
8 THE ISSUES RAISED IN MR. MOORE'S OBJECTION.

9 AS FOR EVIDENCE WITHHELD, THE ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT
10 RELEVANT TO CALCULATING MS. ROBINSON'S SHARE. SHE BRINGS
11 THIS AS A BENEFICIARY, NOT AS A TRUSTEE. AND, AS STATED,
12 HER RESPONSE DISPENSES WITH EACH AND EVERY ALLEGATION IN THE
13 OBJECTIONS, WHICH THE COURT IS TENTATIVELY GOING TO
14 OVERRULE.

15 AND WITH THOSE OBJECTIONS OVERRULED, THE COURT'S
16 TENTATIVE IS TO GRANT THE PETITION.

17 NOW, MR. TALEI, I KNOW YOU WERE SORT OF THE NEUTRAL
18 HERE. DO YOU WISH TO BE HEARD?

19 MR. TALEI: NO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.

20 THE COURT: OKAY. AND EITHER MR. HERBERT OR MS. BROWN,
21 I DON'T KNOW WHO OF YOU WOULD WANT TO RESPOND TO THE COURT'S
22 TENTATIVE.

23 MS. BROWN: YOUR HONOR, WE AGREE TO SUBMIT ON THE
24 TENTATIVE.

25 THE COURT: OKAY.

26 MR. MOORE, IS THERE ANYTHING NOT IN YOUR PAPERS
27 THAT YOU WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO BE AWARE OF?

28 MR. MOORE: WELL, I NEED TO KNOW SPECIFICALLY WHY ARE

1 YOU OVERRULING MY OBJECTIONS.

2 THE COURT: FOR THE REASONS THAT I STATED. THE POINTS
3 RAISED IN RESPONSE TO YOUR OBJECTIONS IN JEAN ROBINSON'S
4 RESPONSE, THE COURT FINDS TO HAVE MERIT AND TO BE
5 CONVINCING. SHE IS NOT A TRUSTEE. SHE'S BRINGING THIS IN
6 HER CAPACITY AS A BENEFICIARY. AND THE ALLEGATIONS ABOUT
7 SERVICE, YOU WERE ABLE TO RESPOND, SO I OVERRULED THOSE
8 OBJECTIONS. AND --

9 MR. MOORE: WHY?

10 THE COURT: -- AND THE OTHER ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT
11 RELEVANT TO CALCULATING MS. ROBINSON'S SHARE.

12 SO THOSE ARE THE REASONS.

13 MR. MOORE: WELL, WHAT ABOUT THE FACT THAT IT'S PAST THE
14 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS?

15 THE COURT: IT IS NOT PAST THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.

16 MS. BROWN, DO YOU WISH TO ADDRESS THAT?

17 MS. BROWN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

18 WE DON'T BELIEVE THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS WOULD
19 APPLY HERE. JEAN ROBINSON IS STILL ENTITLED TO A
20 DISTRIBUTION OF HER SHARE. THIS IS JUST A PARTIAL
21 DISTRIBUTION OF THAT SHARE, WHICH IS STILL HELD IN TRUST.
22 THERE ISN'T A REQUEST FOR ANY TYPE OF OTHER AFFIRMATIVE
23 RELIEF AGAINST ANY PARTY. IT'S JUST TO HAVE PART OF HER
24 SHARE DISTRIBUTED TO HER.

25 THE COURT: CORRECT.

26 SO THERE'S NO STATUTES THAT WOULD APPLY.

27 MR. MOORE: WHAT ABOUT PROBATE CODE 16460?

28 THE COURT: MS. BROWN?

1 MS. BROWN: LET ME LOOK AT THAT STATUTE.

2 OH, THIS IS IN REGARDS TO AN ACCOUNTING.

3 SO, JEAN ROBINSON IS NOT ADDRESSING ANY OF THE
4 PRIOR ACCOUNTINGS, WHICH WERE ALL APPROVED. THOSE FINAL
5 ACCOUNTS ALL HAD A BALANCE AT THE END, AND THOSE BALANCES
6 ARE WHAT WAS USED TO ASSIST WITH THE CALCULATION OF HER
7 SHARE. THIS ISN'T AN ACCOUNTING REQUEST.

8 THE COURT: YEAH, THE STATUTE --

9 MS. BROWN: I DON'T BELIEVE ANY OF THE ACCOUNTINGS
10 USED -- GO AHEAD.

11 THE COURT: THE STATUTE IS NOT REALLY APPLICABLE TO THIS
12 KIND OF A DISTRIBUTION, OR PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION.

13 SO, HAVING HEARD ARGUMENT AND HAVING REVIEWED THE
14 SUPPORTING PAPERS, BOTH FOR THE PETITION AND THE OBJECTIONS,
15 THE COURT IS GOING TO STAY WITH ITS TENTATIVE TO GRANT THIS
16 PETITION FOR PRELIMINARY DISTRIBUTION. THE COURT OVERRULES
17 THE OBJECTIONS BY MR. MOORE FOR THE REASONS STATED. AND
18 JTD 1 WILL BE GRANTED; JTD 2, THE OBJECTIONS, WILL BE
19 OVERRULED.

20 SO, MR. HERBERT AND/OR MS. BROWN, IF YOU'LL GO
21 AHEAD AND PREPARE AN ORDER. THANK YOU.

22 LET'S GO ON TO MATTER NUMBER --

23 MR. MOORE: I HAVE -- JUDGE ROSENBLOOM, I'M NOT FINISHED
24 YET. YOU'RE PREJUDICED AGAINST ME. YOU NEED TO GET OFF
25 THIS CASE.

26 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THAT'S A SEPARATE MATTER.

27 MR. MOORE: THIS IS ANOTHER MOTION FOR RECUSAL.

28 JEAN ROBINSON STOLE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FROM THIS

1 TRUST AND LOST PROPERTIES, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS' WORTH OF
2 PROPERTIES. WHY IS SHE GETTING ANY KIND OF DISTRIBUTION?
3 SHE SHOULD BE IN JAIL.

4 THE COURT: MR. MOORE, WE HAD A LENGTHY TRIAL, AND THERE
5 HAVE BEEN PROCEEDINGS PRIOR TO THAT REGARDING THOSE ISSUES.
6 THOSE MATTERS HAVE BEEN RESOLVED.

7 MR. MOORE: THAT WAS A KANGAROO COURT CASE, KANGAROO
8 TRIAL. IT'S ALL VOIDABLE.

9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU --

10 MR. MOORE: AND YOU'RE PREJUDICED AGAINST ME.

11 THE COURT: THAT'S NOT THE CASE AT ALL. THE FACT THAT A
12 JUDGE RULES AGAINST YOU DOES NOT MEAN THAT SHE OR HE IS
13 PREJUDICED.

14 WE HAVE TO MOVE ON.

15

16 (PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 11

HON. JONATHAN ROSENBLOOM, JUDGE

IN RE THE)	
)	
MYRTLE MOORE LIVING TRUST,)	NO. BP141987
DATED MARCH 7, 2002)	
_____)	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, PAULA B. RENTERIA, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE TRANSCRIPT FOR THE DATE OF DECEMBER 8, 2025 COMPRISES A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

DATED THIS 15TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025.


Paula B. Renteria, CSR NO. 9374
 OFFICIAL REPORTER